PERFORMANCE REPORT : August 2007 - Community Engagement & Safety Portfolio	KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURRENT STATUS	GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G = 0 [0%] R = 4 [100%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
NONTH ON MONTH TREND	AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicator
$\uparrow = 4 [100\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \qquad \downarrow = 0 [0\%]$	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		
ZEAR ON YEAR TREND	RED:	۸	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
$\uparrow = 3 [75\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \qquad \downarrow = 1 [25\%]$	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		

	ID	NAME	APR	ΜΑΥ	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	AGAINST SAME	NBC OUTTURN & PROSPECTIVE QUARTILES BASED ON UNAUDITED 06/07 DATA TABLES	NBC 05/06 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSITION
Mo	nthly Partr	nership Priority Indicators					6	= 0			= 0		B	= 4								
Ļ		Domestic burglaries per year per 1,000 households in local authority area	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.6	1.5								7.8	14		5%	1	↑ 9.8	21.4 Bottom	22.1 Bottom
↓	BV127a	Violent crime per year, 1000 population	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.7	2.6								12	24.66		5%	1	↓ 11.4	26 Bottom	27 Bottom
↓	BV127b	Robberies per year, 1000 population	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2								1.4	2.83		5%	1	↑ 1.6	3.5 Bottom	3.4 Bottom
t		The number of vehicle crimes per year, per 1,000 population in the local authority area	1.7	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.1								6.8	15.41		5%	1	↑ 8.2	19.8 Bottom	21.6 Bottom

PERFORMANCE REPORT : Monthly - August 2007 - Environment Portfolio - Cllr Trini Crake	KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURRENT STATUS	GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G = 8 [61.5%] A = 1 [7.7%] R = 4 [30.8%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
MONTH ON MONTH TREND	AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicator
$\uparrow = 8 \ [61.5\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 2 \ [15.4\%] \qquad \downarrow = 3 \ [23.1\%]$	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		
YEAR ON YEAR TREND	RED:	۸	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
↑ = 10 [76.9%] → = 0 [0.0%] ↓ = 3 [23.1%]	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		Indicators are included in both the Housing and Environment portfolio report as they are cross-cutting

ID	NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	AGAINST SAME		NBC 05/06 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSITION
treetscene	and Property Maintenance [Carl Grimm	ner]				6	= 8			= 1		R	= 4								
	Percentage tonnage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the authority for recycling	18.94	19.91	19.39	20.13	20.55								19.75%	21%		5%	↑	↑ 18.52%	20.24% Upper Median	19.82% Upper Median
	Total of tonnage of household waste arisings which have been sent by the authority for recycling	1,316.26	1,466.26	1,385.65	1,444.67	1,479.20								7,112.44 Tonnes	17,028 Tonnes		5%	↑	↑ 6,588.95 Tonnes	16,155.45 Tonnes Upper Median	15,509.95 Tonnes Top
↑ BV82bi	Percentage of the total tonnage of household waste sent for composting or treatment by anaerobic digestion	20.20	19.25	22.96	23.60	23.99								22.30%	16%		5%	↑	1 20.59%	15.92% Upper Median	16.30% Top
	Total tonnage of household waste sent for composting or treatment by anaerobic digestion	1,403.66	1,437.16	1,641.40	1,693.12	1,726.76								8,031.58 Tonnes	12,974 Tonnes		5%	1	1 7,325.58 Tonnes	12,680.30 Tonnes Top	12,752 Tonnes Top
↓ BV84a	Number of kilograms of household waste collected per head	34.9	37.6	35.7	35.9	36.0								180kg	410kg		+ - 10kg	↓	182.43kg	408.4 kg Upper Median	401.7 kg Upper Median
↓ BV84b	Percentage change from the previous financial year in the number of Kg of household waste collected per head of population	+ 5.44	-8.96	-9.39	+8.13	+1.70								-1.32%	+ 3%		5%	↑	↑ +1.49%	+1.69% Lower Median	+ 5.96% Bottom
₽V212	Average time taken to re-let local authority homes	30	33	32	35	33								33 Days	28 Days		5%	1	↑ 69 Days	72 Days Bottom	72 Days Bottom
	Percentage of new reports of abandoned vehicles investigated within 24hrs of notification	97.97	93.30	95.38	99.01	100								96.75%	95%		1%	↑	1 72.94%	87.25% Lower Median	0% Bottom
BV218b	Percentage of abandoned vehicles removed within 24 hours from the point at which the Authority is legally entitled to remove the vehicle	73.08	61.90	87.88	93.10	78.13								77.78%	85%		5%	Ļ	↓ 81.86%	79.16% Lower Median	0% Bottom
È ELPI5	Percentage of flytips removed within two working days	83.02	75.17	70.96	77.93	73.37								76.17%	70%		5%	\downarrow	↓ 99.64%	99.60%	2
ELPI6	Number of refuse collections missed per month	209	77	32	184	89								591	12,000		5%	↑	1 4,091	11,302	2
ELPI10	Percentage of missed collections put right within 24 hours	91	100	100	100	100								96.95%	95%		2% points	\leftrightarrow	↑ 95.70%	92.99%	2
↑ HLPI9	Night time repairs completed on target	100	95	96.24	100	100								97.84%	99%		1% point	\leftrightarrow	↓ 99%	99%	2

PERFORMANCE REPORT : Monthly - August 2007 - Finance Portfolio - Cllr Malcolm Mild	Iren KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURRENT STATUS	GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G = 0 [0%] A = 0 [0%] R = 1 [100%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
MONTH ON MONTH TREND	AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicato
$\uparrow = 1 [100\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 0 [0\%]$	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		
YEAR ON YEAR TREND	RED:	^	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
$\uparrow = 1 [100\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 0 [0\%]$	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		

	ID	NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL	NBC OUTTURN & PROSPECTIVE QUARTILES BASED ON UNAUDITED 06/07 DATA TABLES	NBC 05/06 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSITION
Fina	nce and	Asset Management Gavin Chambers]					G	= 0		<u> </u>	= 0		R	= 1								
↑	8V8	The percentage of invoices for commercial goods and services paid by the authority within 30 days of being received	95.45	91.16	90.59	90.76	91.30								91.91%	94%		2% points	↑	↑ 89.01%	89.45% Bottom	86.89% Bottom

PERF	ORMANCE REP	ORT : Monthly - August	2007 - Housing Portfolio	- Cllr Sally Beardsworth	KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURREN	IT STATUS				GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G	= 5 [36%]	A = 2 [14%]	R = 7 [50%]	NO DATA =0 [0%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
MONTH	ON MONTH TREND				AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicator
1	= 6 [43%]	↔ = 0 [0%]	= 8 [57%]	NO DATA = 0 [0%]	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		
YEAR O	N YEAR TREND				RED:	^	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
1	= 7 [50%]	↔ = 1 [7%]	↓ = 1 [7%]	NO DATA = 5 [36%]	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		Indicators are included in both the Housing and Environment portfolio report as they are cross-cutting

ID	NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR	NBC OUTTURN & PROSPECTIVE QUARTILES BASED ON UNAUDITED 06/07 DATA TABLES	NBC 05/06 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSITION
Housing Se	ervices [Fran Rodgers]					<u>(</u>	= 5			= 2		•	= 7						•		
↑ BV9	Percentage of council tax received in the year	11.81	9.18	8.97	9.13	9.47								48.57%	98%	39.33%	0.5% points	1	 47.43%	96.63% Lower Median	95.45% Bottom
↑ BV66a	Rent collected by the local authority as a proportion of rents owed on HRA dwellings	63.66	67.06	65.34	65.61	66.04								89.30%	97.80%	90.19%	1% point	1	Previously collected quarterly	95.93% Bottom	96.03% Bottom
↓ BV66b	The number of local authority tenants with more than seven weeks of (gross) rent arrears as a percentage of the total number of council tenants	11.79	12.39	13.06	13.27	13.13								12.71%	8.25%	12.07%	5%	↑	Previously collected quarterly	11.92% Bottom	12.43% Bottom
↓ BV78a	Speed of Processing: Average time for processing new claims	25.3	32.3	26.4	33.3	30.5								30.2 Days	30 Days	32 Days	1 Day	1	1 43.46 Days	36.5 Days Bottom	57.6 Days Bottom
↓ BV78b	Speed of Processing: Average time for processing notifications of change in circumstances	11.1	13.1	10.4	11.5	11.1								11.4 Days	11 Days	13 Days	0.5 Days	1	↑ 19.0 Days	16.4 Days Bottom	24.4 Days Bottom
↓ BV212	Average time taken to re-let local authority homes	30	34	32	35	33								33 Days	28 Days		5%	↓	↑ 68 Days	72 Days Bottom	72 Days Bottom
↑ HLPI9	Night time repairs completed on target	100	95	96.24	100	100								97.84%	99%		1% point	1	↓ 99%	99%	2
↑ BV10	% of non domestic rates due for the year which were received by the authority	12.33	11.45	9.61	9.25	10.39								53.55%	99.3%	40.40%	2% points	1	↑ 51.96%	99.72% Top	99.2% Upper Median
↓ BV66c	Percentage of local authority tenants who received Notices Seeking Possession	1.52	2.08	2.56	2.92	2.00								11.12%	25%	8.02%	5%	↑	Previously collected quarterly	26.66% Lower Median	44.58% Bottom
↓ BV66d	Percentage of tenants evicted as a result of rent arrears	0.05	0	0.01	0	0.02								0.07%	0.30%	0.10%	5%	↓	Previously collected quarterly	0.46% Lower Median	0.83% Bottom
↔ BV76c	Housing Benefit Security: the number of fraud investigations per 1,000 caseload	3.25	4.99	5.05	7.04	4.21								24.97	65	15	5%	↓	↓ 30.91	61.32	51.08
↔ BV76d	Housing Benefit Security: the number of prosecutions and sanctions per 1,000 caseload	0.24	0.18	0.12	0.36	0.18								1.02	4.3	1.13	5%	↓	↓ 1.56	3.91%	4.64
↑ BENLPI	determined within 14 days	82.90	79.90	83.90	79.67	77.10								80.50%	86%	84%	2% points	↓	↓ 84.95%	85.51%	2
↔ CFLI 1	Housing Benefit Security: the number of housing benefit claimants visited	225	253	352	193	413								1,436	3,500	1,167	5%	1	Local PI Replaces BV76a	Local PI Replaces BV76a	New indicator

PERFORMANCE REPORT : August 2007 - Performance Portfolio, Cllr Brian Hoare	KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURRENT STATUS	GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G = 0 [0%] A = 0 [0%] R = 1 [100%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
MONTH ON MONTH TREND	AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicato
$\uparrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 1 [100\%]$	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		·
YEAR ON YEAR TREND	RED:	^	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
$\uparrow = 1 [100\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 0 [0\%]$	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		

	ID	NAME	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES		OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR		NBC 05/06 OUTTURN & QUARTILE POSITION
Hu	man Reso	ources [Howard Crabtree]					6	0			0		R	1								
↓	BV12	The number of working days/shifts lost due to sickness absence	0.78	0.86	0.85	0.96	0.99								4.44 Days	9.5 Days		5%	Ļ	↑ 7.92 Days	11.38 Days Bottom	16.12 Days Bottom

PERFORMANCE REPORT : - August 2007 - Regeneration Portfolio, Cllr Richard Church	KEY TO STATUS COLOURING KEY TO QUARTILE COLOURING	KEYS	
CURRENT STATUS	GREEN:	2	(Within NBC 05/06 Outturn & quartile position) LOCAL INDICATOR [quartile data unavailable]
G = 2 [66.7%] A = 0 [0%] R = 1 [33.3%]	Overall performance on or exceeding target Top or Upper Median Quartile		
MONTH ON MONTH TREND	AMBER:		BVPI ID column denotes Corporate Priority Indicato
$\uparrow = 2 \ [66.7\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 \ [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 1 \ [33.3\%]$	Overall performance within range stated in "Target Tolerances" column* Lower Median Quartile		
YEAR ON YEAR TREND	RED:	Λ	Annual Targeted quartile colouring based against unaudited 06/07 performance data tables
$\uparrow = 2 \ [66.7\%] \qquad \longleftrightarrow = 0 \ [0\%] \qquad \downarrow = 1 \ [33.3\%]$	Overall performance outside the stated "Target Tolerances" Bottom Quartile		

ID	NAME	APR	МАҮ	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	OVERALL PERFORMANCE TO DATE	^ ANNUAL TARGET & TARGETED QUARTILE	CURRENT PROFILED TARGET [if any]	* TARGET TOLERANCES	PERFORMANCE AGAINST LAST MONTH	OVERALL PERFORMANCE AGAINST SAME TIME LAST YEAR	NBC OUTTURN & PROSPECTIVE QUARTILES BASED ON UNAUDITED 06/07 DATA TABLES	
Developm	ent, Building Control & Environmental Hea	alth [Christi	ine Steven	son]		6	=2		<u> </u>	= 0		R	= 1								
↑ BV1098	Percentage of planning applications determined in line with the government's new development control targets to determine: 65% of minor applications in 8 weeks	84.62	95.24	76.47	85.71	89.47								84.57%	81%		2% points	↑	↑ 57.14%	63.23% Bottom	74.55% Upper Median
↑ BV1090	Percentage of planning applications determined: 80% of other applications in 8 weeks	92.41	96.39	89.42	92.50	93.33								92.62%	92%		2% points	1	† 67.62%	75.5% Bottom	85.62% Lower Median
1 PLI 188	The number of decisions delegated to officers as a percentage of all decisions	92.52	99.04	88.65	94.78	87.83								91.74%	95%		2% points	↓	↓ 92.13	92.30%	2