Appendices
------------

1



Item No.	

# **CABINET REPORT**

Report Title	FINANCE AND PERFORMANCE REPORT TO THE END
	OF OCTOBER 2011

AGENDA STATUS: PUBLIC

Cabinet Meeting Date: 14 December 2011

Key Decision: NO

Listed on Forward Plan: YES

Within Policy: YES

Policy Document: NO

**Directorate:**Management Board

Accountable Cabinet Member: Cllr A Bottwood/Cllr D Mackintosh

Ward(s) N/A

### 1. Purpose

1.1 This report presents the Council's key financial and performance exceptions for the year to date.

### 2. Recommendations

- 2.1 That Cabinet note the contents of the report and recommends actions to be taken, if any, to address the issues arising.
- 2.2 That Cabinet notes the position reported on the key financial indicators reported at paragraphs 3.2.1 to 3.2.3.
- 2.3 To approve the following capital appraisals and variations.

# **Appraisals Requiring Approval**

Scheme Reference & Description	Narrative	2011-12 £	Future Years £	Funding Source
General Fund				

2011-12/GF42	Refurbishment and	80,000	0	Capital
Mayorhold Car	enhancement to drainage			Receipts
Park Drainage	systems within Mayorhold			
Works	MSCP car park, to protect			
	structure and enhance			
	appearance. These works			
	are necessary under the			
	conditional Development			
	Agreement reached with			
	Legal and General in			
	November 2009.			

# **Variations Requiring Approval**

2011-12/GF31	Agreement now reached	(3,500,000)	3,000,000	WNDC
Grosvenor/	re timing of payments -			Grant/
Greyfriars –	£2m in 2011/12 and £3m			Capital
Stagecoach	in 2012/13. Original bid			Receipts
Relocation	included further £0.5m,			•
	which will not now be a			
	capital cost (was to be			
	externally funded, so no			
	net saving).			

#### 3. Issues and Choices

# 3.1 Report Background

- 3.1.1 The purpose of this report is to assist Cabinet in monitoring the progress against the delivery of the Corporate Plan priorities within the agreed capital and revenue budgets for general fund and HRA by highlighting the key exceptions and identifying any emerging issues as notified by service managers.
- 3.1.2 It is important that the savings built into the budget are achieved in order to minimise the impact on the Council's general reserves and the budget for future years. Should any of the savings be unachievable Management Board and Heads of Service are responsible for identifying and undertaking appropriate management action to deliver alternative savings or income.
- 3.1.3 The report takes into consideration the progress of key projects being delivered across the Council, achievement against performance indicators and financial/resource information.
- 3.1.4 Portfolio holders receive detailed information on all the measures monitoring the Corporate Plan within their portfolios.
- 3.1.5 To bring capital appraisals and variations for noting and approval.

#### 3.2 Issues

## 3.2.1 Performance Exceptions on Corporate Plan Priorities

This section of the report brings to the attention of Cabinet those measures that are under ( $\triangle$ ) or over ( $\bigcirc$ ) performing by corporate priority against their profiled monthly targets. Appendix 1 provides further detail of the issues and actions being taken by service areas.

Further data validation processes are being implemented by Northampton Borough Council for Leisure Trust and Enterprise Managed Services (EMS) LTD contract (delivering services including household waste and recycling, street cleaning, parks, cemeteries and grounds maintenance). Data in future reports may change following this process.

Theme 1 – You

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail	
HI36 Number of affordable homes delivered (former NI155)		7	10	Housing	Appendix 1 page 2	
Profiled target not achieved.						
HI07 Number of households living in temporary accommodation (former NI156)		33	60	Housing	Appendix 1 page 2	
Profiled target exceeded.						
HI09 Homeless households for whom casework advice resolved their situation		1,371	1,138	Housing	Appendix 1 page 2	
	Profiled targ	et exceed	ed.			
LT02 Total No. of people enrolled in swimming program	0	3,666	3,500	Community Engagement	Appendix 1 page 2	
	Profiled targ	et exceed	ed.			
RB01 Time taken to process Housing Benefit / Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events		10.7 days	11.8 days	Finance	Appendix 1 page 2	
	Profiled target exceeded.					

# Theme 2 – Your Town

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail
ESC01 No. of missed Bins/Boxes as a % of those collected		0.09%	0.02%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.		
ESC03 No. KG household waste collected, not sent for reuse, recycling or not composted (former NI191)	<b>A</b>	18,409,680 kg	15,490,351.80 kg	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.		
ESC04 % household waste recycled and composted (former NI192)	<b>A</b>	44.43%	47%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.		

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail	
ESC07 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level – Graffiti (former NI195c)		2.5%	2%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3	
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.			
ESC08 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level – Fly Posting (former NI195d)	<b>A</b>	0.33%	0%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3	
Profiled t	arget not achieve	ed – Latest re	porting period Ju	ly 2011 YTD		
ESC12 Level of quality against an agreed standard: Open Spaces & Parks – Graffiti and Fly Posting		5.56	2	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3	
Profiled target not achieved.						
ESC14 No. of overall complaints	<b>A</b>	716	500	Environment	Appendix 1 page 3	
Profiled target not achieved.						
NI157a SM Percentage of 'small scale' major planning applications determined within 13 weeks	<b>A</b>	62.5%	75%	Regeneration, Enterprise and Planning	Appendix 1 page 4	
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.	1		
PP06 Percentage change in serious acquisitive crime from the baseline	<b>A</b>	-1.61%	-5.83 %	Leader	Appendix 1 page 4	
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.			
TCO05 Percentage increase in Town Centre footfall compared to 2010-11	<b>A</b>	-0.69%	2%	Regeneration, Enterprise and Planning	Appendix 1 page 4	
	Profil	ed target not a	achieved.			
ESC05 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level - Litter (former NI195a)		4%	5%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 5	
Profiled	I target exceeded	d – Latest repo	orting period July	2011 YTD		
ESC06 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level - Detritus (former NI195b)		7.5%	8%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 5	
Profiled	I target exceeded	d – Latest rep	orting period July	2011 YTD		
ESC10 Level of quality against an agreed standard – Open Spaces and Parks – Litter		0%	5%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 5	

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail		
Profiled tar	Profiled target exceeded – Latest reporting period September 2011 YTD						
ESC11 Level of quality against an agreed standard – Open Spaces and Parks – Detritus		1.39%	8%	Environment	Appendix 1 page 5		
Profiled tar	Profiled target exceeded – Latest reporting period September 2011 YTD						
PP08 % Change in most serious violence from the baseline		-7.14	-2.9	Leader	Appendix page 5		
	Pro	filed target ex	ceeded.				
TCO01 Number of events delivered in partnership: Town Centre		6	4	Community Engagement	Appendix 1 page 5		
	Pro	filed target ex	ceeded.				

# Theme 3 – Your Council

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail	
BV12 The number of working days / shifts lost to sickness absence	<b>A</b>	6.40	5.25	Leader	Appendix 1 page 6	
BV12r The average number of working days / shifts lost to sickness absence for rolling 12 month period	<b>A</b>	12.35	9.42	Leader	Appendix 1 page 6	
	Profiled	d target not a	achieved.			
CEX02 Percentage of Local Government Ombudsman correspondence dealt with on time	<b>A</b>	50%	100%	Leader	Appendix 1 page 6	
	Profiled t	arget not acl	hieved.			
CS05 Percentage satisfied with the overall service provided by the customer service officer	<b>A</b>	81.69%	90%	Community Engagement	Appendix 1 page 6	
	Profiled	target not a	achieved.			
CS13 Percentage of all calls into the contact centre answered	<b>A</b>	82.93%	95%	Community Engagement	Appendix 1 page 6	
	Profiled	d target not a	achieved.			
CS14 One-stop-shop: Percentage of all customers waiting less than 15 minutes (excluding Licensing)	<b>A</b>	77.65%	95%	Community Engagement	Appendix 1 page 6	
	Profiled target not achieved.					
FIN27 NBC Procurement savings for the year against costs of NBC procurement	<u> </u>	£178,459	£215,833	Finance	Appendix 1 page 7	

Measure	Performance status	Actual (YTD)	Target (YTD)	Portfolio	Page ref. for further detail		
	Profiled target not achieved.						
HI04 percentage void rent loss		1.59%	1.4%	Housing	Appendix page 7		
	Profiled t	arget not ac	hieved.				
RB07 Total percentage of debt outstanding, not in recovery and overdue		6.41%	8.8%	Finance	Appendix 1 page 7		
-	Profile	ed target exc	ceeded.		•		

# 3.2.2 Key Financial Indicators Exceptions

Target of £0k means that the target is to deliver the budget

Dashboard Indicator Description	Latest Po	Target	RAG	
Total GF Revenue Controllable Budget	Over Spend	£68k	£0	Α
Total HRA Revenue Controllable Budget	Under Spend	£14k	£0	В
Debt Financing Budget	Under Spend	£380k	£0	В

# 3.2.3 General Fund and HRA Revenue Budget Issues

- 1. Number of Service Areas Materially Overspending
- 3.2.4 One of the 20 services with general fund budgets is reporting a material variation. Paragraph 3.2.7 below refers.
  - 2. Total General Fund Revenue Controllable Budget
- 3.2.5 The following table explains the main under and overspends on the General Fund.

Service Area	£,000
Neighbourhood Environmental Services	231
Town Centre Management	249
Head of Planning	-117
Head of Regeneration and Development	-202
Borough Solicitor	58
Debt Financing	-380
Other Minor Variations	-151
Total Forecast Under/Overspend	- 312

- 3.2.6 **Neighbourhood & Environmental Services (Amber)** Managers are reporting a forecast overspend of £231k after management action. This is due to additional temporary staff costs of £185k, vehicle repair and hire costs overspends of £169k, equipment leasing overspend of £53k, various minor variations totalling £147k, offset by additional recycling credits £280k, all arising prior to the commencement of the Enterprise Contract and use of earmarked reserves £43k.
- 3.2.7 **Town Centre Management (Red)** Car Park daily ticket income has reduced by £155k. This is due to a 2.3% downturn trend in the usage of car parks and because of the

- Council absorbing the change in VAT rate. Market stall income has also reduced and is forecast to be under by £45k. A number of other small reductions in income make up the difference involving the bus station and town centre business contributions.
- 3.2.8 **Head of Planning (Blue)** The level of Development Control fee income is over performing the budget by £117k.
- 3.2.9 **Head of Regeneration and Development (Blue)** The successful outcome from a ground rent review has meant that external rent income is over performing by £319k. Offsetting this underspend is a £90k under-achievement of property rental income due to higher vacancies.
- 3.2.10 **Borough Solicitor** The use of a locum Principal Solicitor to cover a vacant post has resulted in a cost greater than budgeted.
- 3.2.11 The **Debt Financing (Blue)** manager is forecasting an underspend of £380k. This is due to an over achievement of investment income as a result of higher balances during the year due mainly to capital carry forward, so has no impact for 2012/13. There is also a technical financing adjustment which contributes to this saving.

# 3. Total HRA Revenue Controllable Budget (Blue)

3.2.12 Managers are currently reporting an under spend of £14k on the HRA. This is after a £520k underspend on HRA Subsidy due to adjustments in Capital Allowance calculations, a £119k overspend on General Management relating to Housing IT systems upgrades, revised forecast contribution to earmarked reserves of £650k, an underspend on Repairs and Maintenance relating to employees and other minor variations.

# 4. 2010/11 GF Savings and Efficiency Targets

3.2.13 Managers are currently forecasting these savings targets as being on target for 2011/12.

# 3.2.14 Capital Programme Budgets

#### **General Fund**

3.2.15 Managers are currently forecasting the General Fund capital programme as being underspent by £22k on various projects. There is also forecast carry forward to 2012/13 of £288k, mainly on the Northampton Skatepark project.

### **Housing Revenue Account (HRA)**

3.2.16 Managers are currently forecasting the HRA capital programme as being on track with no carry forward.

#### 3.2.17 Data Quality

The Council has processes in place to ensure that the data and information it provides to support management decision making is as reliable as possible. The Council has a strategy to improve data quality and service areas are working to achieve the objectives within it. This is closely linked to the Council's risk assessment processes and is monitored each month as part of the Council's Performance Management Framework.

# 3.3 Choices (Options)

3.3.1 Cabinet is asked to note the reported position.

### 4. Implications (including financial implications)

# 4.1 Policy

- 4.1.1 The Council agreed a balanced budget for the Capital Programme and Revenue budgets for HRA and General Fund in February 2011. The monitoring of delivery of the budget is through the budget monitoring framework.
- 4.1.2 Corporate measures are monitored regularly to track progress towards delivering our priorities, as detailed in the Council's Corporate Plan. Service areas annually develop objectives, measures and targets to ensure the delivery of the Corporate Plan through the service planning process. The monitoring of progress is through the Performance Management Framework.

#### 4.2 Resources and Risk

- 4.2.1 This report informs the Cabinet of the forecast outturn positions for capital and revenue, HRA and General Fund as at the end the period. It also highlights the key risks identified to date in delivering those budgets and where performance measures are significantly over or under performing.
- 4.2.2 There will be an ongoing impact in future years of not achieving the savings within the 2011/12 budget, particularly where services move outside the direct control of NBC.
- 4.2.3 All objectives, measures and actions within the Service Plans are risked accessed and challenged before final approval. The challenge process includes the agreement of performance targets and the capacity / ability to deliver the plans with appropriate resource set aside to do so.

#### 4.3 Legal

4.3.1 There are no specific legal implications arising from this report.

#### 4.4 Equality

4.4.1 There are no specific equalities implications arising from this report.

### 4.5 Consultees (Internal and External)

- 4.5.1 Heads of Service and Budget Managers and Management Board are consulted as part of the budget and performance monitoring process on a monthly basis.
- 4.5.2 Performance data (financial and non financial) is published on the NBC website.

# 4.6 How the Proposals deliver Priority Outcomes

4.6.1 Performance monitoring (financial and non financial) by exception and using it to improve performance is good practice in terms of efficient and effective management. It focuses on the key areas and therefore contributes directly to the priorities of sustaining "effective and prudent financial management" and being "an agile transparent organisation with good governance".

### 4.7 Other Implications

4.7.1 There are no other implications arising from this report.

# 5. Background Papers

- 5.1 Cabinet and Council Budget and Capital Programme Reports February 2011.
- 5.2 Finance Monitoring Dashboard to the end of May 2011 report to Cabinet
- 5.3 Corporate Performance All Measures Report to the end of October 2011.

Dale Robertson, Head of Performance and Change, 01604 837110 Isabell Procter, Director of Finance and Support, 01604 838757 Management Board, C/o David Kennedy, Chief Executive, 01604 837726









In October 2011 Northampton Borough Council undertook full data quality and validation of Corporate performance measures. Following this process the report may contain revised figures when compared to earlier reports.
Further data validation processes are being implemented by Northampton Borough Council for Leisure Trust and Environmental Services Contract measures. Data in future reports may change following this process.

## Appendix 1

# **Corporate Performance Highlight Report**

Page 2 You

Page 3 Your Town

Page 4 Your Council



# YOU

#### Key

 Exceptional or over performance

△ Outside agreed target tolerance

Good to be low: Better

Good to be low: Worse

Good to be High: Better

Good to be High: Worse

→ No change

! No target available

No data available



Supporting you when you need it

Ensuring homes are available for local people

Encouraging healthy, active, green living

			YOU: RED r	neasures								
	Measure ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011	Current YTD Profiled Target Oct 2011	Annual Target Mar 2012	DOT v's same time last yr			
Bigger is Better	HI 36 Number of affordable homes delivered (NI 155)(Q)	0	7		-	<b>A</b>	10	100	*			
The target for th	a) Performance update and actions The target for the month was exceeded, however the overall yearly target has not been achieved. There is still confidence that the year end figure of 100 Affordable Homes completions will be achieved											
			YOU: BLUE	measures								
	Measure ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011		Annual Target Mar 2012	DOT v's same time last yr			
Smaller is Better	HI 07 Number of households living in temporary accommodation (NI156) (M)	33	33			•	60	75	*x			
The number of h nationally has in	nce update and actions nouseholds in TA now includes 6 families in Co ncreased by 66% and Northampton has the 8t gency accommodation only after all other opti	h best home	eless preven	tion figures								
Bigger is Better	HI 09 Homeless households for whom casework advice resolved their situation (M)	790	1,371				1,138	2,000	•			
The monthly figu	nce update and actions ure has reduced as we are no longer in partne heir figures. The annual target does not take						vention), we					
Bigger is Better	LT02 Total No. of people enrolled in swimming program	1,788	3,666	-		•	3,500	7,400	?			
	nce update and actions ich 2000 students on our swimming lessons b nis growth	y the end of	the year. Ir	ncreased les	sons and the	e launch of the Junior	Direct Debit h	nas				
Smaller is Better	RB01 Time taken to process Housing Benefit/CTB new claims and change events (M)	12.6	10.7			•	11.8	11.0	v			
Performance cor	nce update and actions ntinues to be stable and above target. This is anges that have improved systems and proce											

#### Key

- Exceptional or over performance
- △ Outside agreed target tolerance
- Good to be low: Better
- Good to be low: Worse
- Good to be High: Better
- Good to be High: Worse
- → No change
- ! No target available
- No data available

# **YOUR TOWN**



Helping create a clean, green and safe Northampton

Delivering inviting and enjoyable open spaces

Driving the development of a confident, ambitious, successful Northampton

			YOUR TOWN:	RED measures	5				
	Measure ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011	Current YTD Profiled Target Oct 2011	Annual Target	DOT v's same time last yr
Smaller is Better	ESC01 No. of missed Bins/Boxes as a % of those collected	0.15	0.09	-	-	. 🛕	0.02	0.02	?
	ance update and actions								
There is a gen months.	eral decreasing trend in the numbers of misse	ed bins. This is	due to numer	ous service in	nprovements t	peing implement	ed in recent		
Smaller is Better	ESC03 No KG household waste collected, not sent for reuse, recycling or not composted (NI191) (M)	7,379,270	18,409,680	-	-	<b>A</b>	15,490,351.80	30,411,311.40	?
Although off to showing a dov	ance update and actions rack against target, Performance is showing a vnward trend (good) approaching target. This								
Bigger is Better	ESC04 % household waste recycled and composted (NI192)	45.41	44.43	-	-		47.00	47.00	?
Performance is contributing fa	ance update and actions s slightly off target, however is showing a goo actors for the under performance is the Food V in October vs September.								
Smaller is Better	ESC07 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level - Graffiti (NI195c)	2.50	2.50	-	-		2.00	2.00	?
Performing sli	ance update and actions ghtly off track. A review of work patterns has ion to this measure.	taken place fo	r the graffiti re	emoval crews	and we are co	nfident we will r	neet year end		
Smaller is Better	ESC08 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level- FlyPosting (NI195d)	0.33	0.33	-	-	<b>_</b>	0.00	0.00	?
For Cabinet Re	ance update and actions eporting purposes October 2011 YTD shows Ju sted by the high volume of events, advertised								
Smaller is Better	ESC12 Level of quality against an agreed standard - Open Spaces & Parks - Graffiti and Fly Posting	8.33	5.56	-	-	. 🛕	2.00	2.00	?
Despite being cleansing oper Due to the low Targets have	ance update and actions off target there has been improvement Q1 to ratives, resulting in improved reporting and re number of available transects, this measure been used	moval of both	fly posting an	d graffiti.					
Smaller is Better	ESC14 No. of overall complaints	412	716	-	-	_	500	1,000	?
a) Perform	ance update and actions								

The number of	of complaints made each month are showing a	downward tren	nd as improve	ments to the s	service are int	roduced.			
Bigger is Better	NI157a SM Percentage of 'small scale' major planning apps determined within 13 weeks (M)	66.67	62.50	-	-	<b>A</b>	75.00	75.00	*
Due to the na the case for N which 3 were Of the 8 appli	nance update and actions ture of major development, planning authoriti IBC due to the current role of WNDC. In the po- not determined within target timescales. This cations received so far this year, 2 were receivance is therefore 62.5% however the service is	eriod from April was due to the ved in October	to October 20 e need to comp and 1 of these	011, NBC dete plete S106 leg e applications	ermined 8 appl al agreements was determine	ications in this of the control of t	category, of ons being issued.		
Smaller is Better	PPO6 % change in serious acquisitive crime from the baseline (M)	-2.65	-1.61	-	-	<b>A</b>	-5.83	-10.00	?
Despite achiev	nance update and actions ving a reduction of over 11% in domestic burg in October. CSP has tasked neighbourhood mations.								
Bigger is Better	TCO05 Percentage increase in Town Centre footfall compared to 2010-11 (Q)	3.87	-0.69	-	-	<b>A</b>	2.00	2.00	?
*	nance update and actions allen over the last three months in comparison	with 2010/11	with the two f	actors being t	he Pride in 20	10 and the econ	omic		

		,	YOUR TOWN:	BLUE measure	·S				
	Measure ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011	Current YTD Profiled Target Oct 2011	Annual Target Mar 2012	DOT v's same time last yr
Smaller is Better	ESC05 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level - Litter (NI195a)	4.00	4.00	-	-	•	5.00	5.00	?
For Cabinet R	ance update and actions eporting purposes October 2011 YTD shows Ju luring a period containing the school holidays,								
Smaller is Better	ESC06 % of Land and Highways assessed with litter falling below acceptable level - Detritus (NI195b)	7.50	7.50	-	-	•	8.00	8.00	?
For Cabinet R	ance update and actions eporting purposes October 2011 YTD shows Ju have identified areas that had a poorer score					easure. The stro	ng performance		
Smaller is Better	ESC10 Level of quality against an agreed standard - Open Spaces & Parks - Litter	3.33	0.00	-			5.00	5.00	?
performance i duty. Due to the lov Targets have		weeper in the	parks and the	assignment o	of one, or more	e, members of s	taff to litter		
Smaller is Better	ESC11 Level of quality against an agreed standard - Open Spaces & Parks - Detritus	5.00	1.39	-	-		8.00	8.00	?
For Cabinet Reperformance i	ance update and actions eporting purposes October 2011 YTD shows S s due to the fortnightly employment of a big s v number of available transects, this measure been used PP08 % change in most serious violence	weeper in the has been com	parks. Ibined with Da	ventry District	0.		nce: NBC		
Better	from the baseline (M)	-7.14	-7.14	-	-		-2.90	-5.00	?
Most serious viole	ance update and actions violence continues to be reduced beyond annuence in town centre night time economy in the addition to police data.								
Bigger is Better	TCO01 Number of events delivered in partnership: Town Centre (Q)	3	6	-	-		4	6	?
a) Perform	ance update and actions held as follows: ival								

#### Key

 Exceptional or over performance

△ Outside agreed target tolerance

Good to be low: Better

Sood to be low: Worse

Good to be High: Better

Good to be High: Worse

→ No change

! No target available

No data available



Being a responsive council

Providing quality services

Satisfying our customers

		YOUR	COUNCIL:	RED measu	res				
	Measure ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011		Annual Target Mar 2012	DOT v's same time last yr
Smaller is Better	BV012 The number of working days / shifts lost to sickness absence (M)	3.54	6.40	-		_	5.25	9.00	*  ★
Sickness levels	nce update and actions , Return to Work interview, performance and s ness day took place on 2 November 2011 as m					ast rasson for long to	rm absonce		
nfluenza vaccii	nations are to be offered to all employees who g the coming winter months.			-				ess	
Smaller is Better	BV012_12r Ave. no. of days/shifts lost to sickness for rolling 12 month period (M)	12.14	12.35	-	-	<b>A</b>	9.42	9.00	**
	nce update and actions , Return to Work interview, performance and s	ickness tren	ds are moni	tored montl	nly.				
nfluenza vacci	ness day took place on 2 November 2011 as mations are to be offered to all employees who g the coming winter months.			-		•		ess	
igger is Better	CEXO2 Percentage of Local Government Ombudsman correspondence dealt with on time (M)	0.0 %	50.0 %	-		_ ▲	100.0 %	100.0 %	*
wo enquiries o	nce update and actions lealt with; one in target and one out. The num  11 - Reason for delay is the service area (Hous eview and will be responded to accordingly.		·		,	ŭ		iief	
igger is Better	CS05 Percentage satisfied with the overall service provided by the Customer Service Officer (M)	84.67	81.69	-		_	90.00	90.00	**
	nce update and actions was highest among Housing respondents. cust							of	
nat there need	led to be more housing staff. Respondents wer of all respondents rated the service provided b		Services off	icers as 10/	10)				
hat there need ontact. (45% of Bigger is Better	of all respondents rated the service provided b CS13 Percentage of ALL calls into the Contact Centre answered (M)		Services off 82.93			<b>A</b>	95.00	95.00	•
nat there need ontact. (45% ontact.) igger is Better a) Performa ctober peform	of all respondents rated the service provided b	y Customer 78.09 services area	82.93	ember. This	can be attri	buted to a very high k		95.00	₹

7 NBC procurement savings for the gainst costs of NBC procurement (M) late and actions reduction in savings achieved due to a ction and grounds Maintenance. The othe actual savings achieved which has October include: Derngate re-roofing,	dramatic re ut sourcing l reduced fro	nas reduced	he use of Aç I the volume	gency Tempo	A prory Staff as a result	.,	£370,000	*
reduction in savings achieved due to a ction and grounds Maintenance. The o the actual savings achieved which has	ut sourcing I reduced fro	nas reduced	I the volume	gency Tempo	rary Staff as a result			
occoper merader berngate to reemig,		nd Rail War			d Agency Temporary S		5	
4 Percentage void rent loss (M)	2.06	1.59		-	<b>A</b>	1.40	1.00	¥
to these flats is no longer included wi	ithin this yea n the PI defir	ars PI calcul nition.	ation. Becau	ise these pro				
	YOUR	COUNCIL: 1	BLUE measu	res		O LVTD		
re ID & Name	Jul 11 YTD	Oct 11 YTD	Dec 11 YTD	Mar 12 YTD	Latest YTD Oct 2011	Profiled :	Target Mar	DOT v's same tim last yr
7 Total % of debt outstanding, not in ry and overdue (M)	6.53	6.41	-	-	•	8.80	8.00	•
f	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The rep ious months data has therefore been up flats (a block due for demolition) were d to these flats is no longer included wi rom the PI calculation is consistent with	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run ious months data has therefore been updated in line flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of d to these flats is no longer included within this yea from the PI calculation is consistent with the PI defir  YOUR  URE ID & Name  Jul 11 YTD	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during Novelous months data has therefore been updated in line with the reflats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during to these flats is no longer included within this years PI calculation the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: Update ID & Name  Jul 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during November and plous months data has therefore been updated in line with the new report.  flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during October. If the details is no longer included within this years PI calculation. Because the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: BLUE measure ID & Name  Jul 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during November and provides voice lous months data has therefore been updated in line with the new report.  flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during October. This has had do to these flats is no longer included within this years PI calculation. Because these promote the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: BLUE measures  Jul 11 YTD Oct 11 Dec 11 Mar 12 YTD  War 12 YTD	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during November and provides void rent loss data from the loss months data has therefore been updated in line with the new report.  flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during October. This has had a positive impact on plate to these flats is no longer included within this years PI calculation. Because these properties have not been to the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: BLUE measures  Jul 11 YTD Oct 11 Pec 11 Mar 12 YTD Latest YTD Oct 2011	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during November and provides void rent loss data from the start of the lous months data has therefore been updated in line with the new report.  flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during October. This has had a positive impact on performance at d to these flats is no longer included within this years PI calculation. Because these properties have not been available for rom the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: BLUE measures  Tre ID & Name  Jul 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD  Latest YTD Oct 2011 Profiled Target Oct 2011	date and actions developed to calculate this PI. The report was run during November and provides void rent loss data from the start of the lous months data has therefore been updated in line with the new report.  flats (a block due for demolition) were taken out of debit during October. This has had a positive impact on performance as d to these flats is no longer included within this years PI calculation. Because these properties have not been available for the PI calculation is consistent with the PI definition.  YOUR COUNCIL: BLUE measures  THE ID & Name  Jul 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD  Oct 11 YTD  Latest YTD Oct 2011  Profiled Target Mar 2012