RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11

WITNESS STATEMENT Page 1							
(CJ Act 1967, s.9; MC Act 1980, ss.5A (3) (a) and 5B; Criminal Procedure Rules 2005, Rule 27.1)							
URN							
Statement of: David Bryan							
Age if under 18: O/18 (if over 18 type 'over 18') Occupation: POLICE CONSTABLE 113							
This statement (consisting of 2 page(s) each signed by me) is true to the best of my knowledge and belief and I make it knowing that, if it is tendered in evidence, I shall be liable to prosecution if I have wilfully stated anything in it, which I know to be false, or do not believe to be true.							
Signature: Date: Red DECEMEN LOIG							
Tick if witness evidence is visually recorded (supply witness details on rear)							
I am currently a serving Police Constable with Northamptonshire Police, my role is that of Licensing Officer responsible for the Borough of Northampton. I carry out my duites with the authorisation of the Chief Officer of Police Mr Lee.							
I am submitting this statement as additional information for the Licensing Sub Committee of Northampton Borough Council in relation to the Review Hearing for the Eastern District Social Club, Northampton.							
I will detail an intelligence log which is held on Northamptonshire Police's Intelligence System, the log number is 26833060 and the date of the log is the 5th of October 2010. The intelligence/information was submitted to Northamptonshire Police by Crimestoppers, this is a national charity that allows members of the public to provide information about criminal activity, they can do this annoymously if they wish to do so.							
The information is as follows:							
Drugs Trafficking/Theft, Northamptonshire.							
Teresa Bell who is both the Barmaid and part owner of the Eastern District Social Club, Northamptonshire (Exact address unknown) is known to be dealing Canabis and Cocaine to users from behind the bar of the Social Club and is also said to be managing to steal her gas and electric supply at the club having somehow passed the meters.							
Bell is also known to currently have a Sky Sports TV package installed within the living quarters above the club although this is said to have also be rerouted and is being used in the main bar itself (Exact Details Unknown).							
Activity at the pub is known to take place during opening hours with Bell dealing the drugs openly from behind the bar.							
The Club is known to be owned by Bell and numerous other members of her family (Details unknown) who are all also believed to be involved in the criminal activity taking place there.							
It is not known where the drugs maybe being sourced, stored or how much they may be being sold and purchased for.							
No exact details surrounding the living quarters above the club are known other that the Bell family are believed to be renting the rooms out to other people (Details and number of individuals unknown).							
Certain members of the Bell family (Names and details unknown) are also known to travel abroad to Spain (Exact location unknown) every two weeks (Dates unknown) but always return via Ireland and Scotland.							
The exact reasons for these trips is unkown.							
Bell is described as a white female, 30 years of age, 5ft 4inchs tall, medium build with shoulder length dark colured hair and dresses casually.							

	·
Signature:	Signature witnessed by:
Signature.	Signature withessed by.

Continuation of statement of: David Bryan No further information could be provided. Teresa Bell Bn 17-Jun-1973 Eastern District North Social Club, Crestwood Road, Northampton, NN38JJ	Page 2
No further information could be provided.	

Toroga Ball Bn 17- Jun-1973 Fastern District North Social Club, Crestwood Road, Northampton, NN38.I.I	
Telesa Deli Dil 17-3011-1373 Lastetti District Mortii Goolai Olab, Grostwood Noda, Mortiisinptoni, Milosso	
Log ends.	
	·

Signature witnessed by: ____

Signature:

IN THE CROWN COURT AT NORTHAMPTON

T20097097

Northampton Combined Court Centre 85/87 Lady's Lane Northampton NN1 3HQ

10th May 2010

Before:

HIS HONOUR JUDGE WIDE

REGINA

-v-DANIEL A WANT KEVIN JOHN BELL DEAN HENRY TERESA BELL

(Transcribed from tape by Ubiqus Official Court Reporters Clifford's Inn, Fetter Lane. London EC4A 1LD Tel: 0207 269 0370 Fax: 0207 405 9884)

MS D HERBERT appeared for the Prosecution.
MR F GOW appeared for Defendant WANT
MR T METZER for Defendant KEVIN BELL
MR M LOWE for Defendant HENRY
MR A CHAUDHURI for Defendant TERESA BELL

SENTENCE

 \mathbf{H}

A

 \mathbf{B}

 \mathbf{C}

D

 \mathbf{E}

F

JUDGE WIDE: The defendant can all remain seated.

В

 \mathbf{C}

D

E

F

G

H

Kevin Bell, Daniel Want, Dean Henry; you were all involved in the most despicable trade. What you were engaged in for profit, simply to enrich yourselves, is something which causes death and almost limitless human misery; and people who involve themselves in this trade must expect to get stiff prison sentences, sometimes very long, sometimes very long prison sentences depending on the degree of involvement.

It is an absolute social curse – the drugs trade.

Kevin Bell, you are now 27, you have been before the Courts before, but for nothing comparably serious, but nonetheless you have been before the Courts before. I have considered, of course, the references and your own letter and the documents which set out the work you have been doing in Prison. I take into account that you pleaded guilty, something I will return to in a moment.

You obviously made a great deal of money from this despicable trade that you entered into to enrich yourself at the expense of other people's misery. It is plain, and I have considered your basis of plea and I have also considered the Crown's response to it, the prosecution response to the basis of plea, submitted on behalf of the above defendants. I need not repeat the contents of that document, save to say that I accept the reasoning in it. You headed your own organisation, having split with Burgess; you could supply really substantial amounts of cocaine and heroin, as and when it was needed. You had people working for you and it is plain that Riley[??] and Duncan[??] did and you were obviously at the top of your own organisation that was well established, as is absolutely obvious by November 2008; it must have been running substantially before that, otherwise you would not have been able to offer so much so readily.

It is plain that you were seeking to undermine your competitors, in particular in the form of your former partner in crime, Burgess.

В

 \mathbf{C}

D

 \mathbf{E}

F

G

H

evidence before me, I think some small distinction must be made to your advantage. It is correct that you pleaded guilty at the plea and case management hearing here at the Crown Court, but nonetheless you then sought to minimise your involvement and I cannot give you the fullest credit, but nonetheless you will get significant credit. One cannot approach this in too mechanistic a way, because I also have to adjust the sentence somewhat to achieve the correct totality, bearing in mind the offence of handling. And this offence of handling — count 8 — conspiracy to handle — is a very serious matter. You were plainly very close to the thieves. The precise amount that came through your hands is not easy to be completely confident about, but there are no contrary submissions to the Crown's submission that it must be at least the amount set out on the list which has been before me - it has F5 in the bottom right hand corner — which shows goods worth something like £165,000, excepting that that is probably the retail value of those goods.

I suspect that there is nothing to choose between you and Burgess, but on the basis of the

I give you credit for pleading guilty to that.

As I have said it was highly professional organised theft and you were close to the thieves and were making money from selling these stolen goods.

Again, I have to discount the sentence not only to take into account your plea, but also to achieve the correct totality.

The sentence is this, on counts 2, 4 and 5, nine years; on count 8, two and a half years consecutive. The sentences for 2, 4 and 5 of course, will be concurrent with each other, but consecutive to the two and a half years, making eleven and a half years in all. 415 days spent on remand will count towards the sentence.

Daniel Want, you are now 33, you have some previous convictions; you are not a man of good character, but the previous convictions do not weigh heavily to aggravate your position. I take into account the letter that you have written and the references and you too

В

C

D

 \mathbf{E}

F

G

H

pleaded guilty at the plea and case management hearing, but you too have minimised your involvement. It is absolutely plain, when you analyse the evidence – as the Crown have done in the document to which I have referred – that you were deeply involved and in my judgement, if one is to make, as it were, a pecking order, you do come a little above Sherlock[??] but below Touche[??]; you could get really substantial amounts – certainly of cocaine and to some extent, heroin. You were able to supply really substantial amounts on demand and the telephone traffic shows what you were up to and the depth of your involvement. Even on your own account, you have submitted to me, you were earning something like £600 a month.

You were involved in handling the flat-screen televisions which were taken from NYK. When Burgess was arrested, he sent a text and you were quickly on the scene to help with others preserve, as it were, what must have been apparent to you, were the products of professional commercial crime.

Your involvement wasn't very long and it wasn't very great, you were an extra pair of hands, but you must have realised the seriousness of what was afoot. Bearing in mind your limited role, you must serve a consecutive sentence, but it can be a short one.

The sentence I pass on you, is six years in relation to counts 1, 3 and 6, which are all concurrent with each other and six months consecutive for the handling, making six and a half years in all; 415 days spent on remand will count towards the sentence.

Dean Henry, you are 30, you have some previous convictions, not of the most serious kind. You did not plead guilty at the first opportunity; I take into account the attempts that you have made to make good use of your time in prison. I sentence you strictly on the basis of your basis of plea, but that involves — as the evidence established — involvement in an established group who supplied retail level quantities of heroin, purchasing from one supplier in ounce amounts and selling it in gram amounts and then the particular transaction

В

1

 \mathbf{C}

D

E

F

G

Н

concerned with 16 October. Giving you the limited credit for your plea to which I have already referred, the sentence that I pass on you is one of four and a half years; you receive credit for 409 days spent on remand. I am assisted in your case, as indeed I am in all the cases, by the well-known of *R v Jarvis* [2008] EWCA Crim 488.

Teresa Bell, you are of previously good character. You pleaded guilty on the day of trial. I sentence you on the basis of your acceptance of plea; I take into account the references that have been placed before me.

You lent yourself to your brother's criminality in quite a significant way and you allowed the premises, of which you were the manager, to be – as it were – a meeting point for that purpose, the Eastern District Social Club; and you were responsible, having made these connections in assisting your brother, you were responsible for something like £15,000 of stolen goods to go.

Well it is a very serious matter and only custody will do. You must have realised that these were the product of sophisticated commercial crime, but in all the circumstances I am able — in your case — to suspend the inevitable custodial sentence. You pleaded guilty on the day of trial; I appreciate that you did not plead to the conspiracy, but to a substantive offence, but nonetheless, you hadn't offered anything before. So you need to have something hanging over you and you need to be punished in my judgement and you also should try to make good at least some of the cost to the public of these proceedings. The sentence is ten months imprisonment; it will be suspended, the operational period will be twelve months. If you commit any further offence during that time, then you will be brought back to Court and all or part of that sentence can be activated. You will also do 250 hours unpaid work, as is suggested in the very helpful pre-sentence report. You must do that work, when, where and to the standard required by the Probation Service; if you do not, you will be brought back and the suspended sentence activated in whole or in part. You will also pay prosecution

В

End.

 \mathbf{C}

D

 \mathbf{E}

 \mathbf{F}

 \mathbf{G}

H

costs of £1,000 by 4 pm on 10 November of this year.

In relation to all the custodial sentences that I have passed in relation to them, the defendants will serve half and then be released. I have already said that I find that all of the crimes were committed after 4 April 2005.



LICENCED PREMISES - RECORD OF INCIDENT

	*						Incident Number 639				
RECORD OF	INCIDEN.	r Submit	TED BY								
Officer name	David Cha	ırlton							-		
Collar number	p1245				Ra	nk/Title	Irt Officer				
Telephone	03000 111222 ext 2796			Are	a	Northamptonshire West					
Department	Incident R	esolution T	eam		Da	te and time	14/11/2010, 00:14:00				
PREMISES DETAILS											
Premises name	eastern o	eastern district working mens club			Add	Address line 3					
Address line 1	Crestwo	ood Road			Add	ress line 4					
Address line 2	Northam	mpton			Pos	tcode					
INCIDENT DETAILS											
Date and time o	of incident 13/11/2010, 21:45:00 Date and time of premises visit 13/11/201							13/11/2010, 22:40:00			
Relevant area	⊠ WB										
Brief details of incident	male contacts the police, stating he was in the working mens club when he has been assaulted by the barman Jerry Shiels, upon speaking to the informant and persons at the cub, it transpires that the caller was abusive and aggressive towards the bar staff, after an argument over a game of pool, informant was asked to leave the club, but refused and was then forcibly removed from the club by Shiels										
Designated Premises Supervisor present at the scene? Yes (give name here) No (name staff member spoken to) Michael Bell (trustee)											
Attitude of licensee/staff to Officer(s)	initially obstructive attitude towards police, stating that he didnt have to let us in if he didnt want to, and that police should be grateful that he had let us in, once officers were inside, he still remained partially obstructive, when a further officer tried to gain entry to the club to assist										
Offences disclosed and names of offenders etc	no offences disclosed, caller was the main aggressor and refused to leave when asked by bar staff, when caller became abusive towards the staff member he was forcibly ejected (using reasonable force)										
Verbal caution administered? Yes No Date and time of verbal caution N/A											
Adminstered by								<u>L</u>			
Collar number						Rank					

A MARK OF